



## PEOPLE AND COMMUNITIES COMMITTEE

| Subject:           | Proposal for naming a new street     |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Date:              | 12 <sup>th</sup> September, 2017     |
| Reporting Officer: | Ian Harper, Building Control Manager |
| Contact Officer:   | Roisin Adams, Business Coordinator   |

| Restricted Reports                                |        |   |
|---|--------|---|
| Is this report restricted?                        | Yes No | Х |
| If Yes, when will the report become unrestricted? |        |   |
| After Committee Decision                          |        |   |
| After Council Decision                            |        |   |
| Some time in the future                           |        |   |
| Never   |        |   |

## Call-in

| 1.0 | Purpose of Report or Summary of main Issues  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|--|--|--|
| 1.1 | To consider an application for the naming of a new street in the City.   |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | Recommendations  |  |  |  |
| 2.1 | <ul> <li>Based on the information presented the Committee is required to make a recommendation in respect of the application for naming a new street in the City. The Committee may either:</li> <li>Grant the application, or</li> <li>Refuse the application and request that the applicant submits another name for consideration.</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
| 3.0 | Main report  |  |  |  |
|     | Key Issues   |  |  |  |
| 3.1 | The power for the Council to name streets is contained in Article 11 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) (NI) Order 1995.   |  |  |  |

| 3.2 | Members are asked to consider the following application. The application particulars   |   |                                 |       |  |  |
|-----|--|---|---------------------------------|-------|--|--|
|     | order and the Royal Mail has no objections to the proposed name. The proposed new name   |   |                                 |       |  |  |
|     | is not contained in the Council's Streets Register and does not duplicate existing approved  |   |                                 |       |  |  |
|     | street names in the City.  |   |                                 |       |  |  |
|     |  |   |                                 |       |  |  |
|     | Proposed Name  | Location  | Applicant                       |       |  |  |
|     | Montpelier Park  | Off Malone Road, BT9  | McAleer & Rush                  |       |  |  |
| 3.3 | The developer has proposed Montpelier Park for the new street name because Mr Samuel   |   |                                 |       |  |  |
|     | Gibson who constructed the former house on the site, imported grapes from Montpelier and   |   |                                 |       |  |  |
|     | the house carried the Montpelier name. The developer is retaining one of the existing  |   |                                 |       |  |  |
|     | buildings on the site and it is being refurbished into two apartments. In addition the adjoining   |   |                                 |       |  |  |
|     | house which dates back to the 19 <sup>th</sup> century is also called Montpelier and the developer has   |   |                                 |       |  |  |
|     | advised that they have no in   | formation to explain why nur  | nber 96 Malone Road is ca       | alled |  |  |
|     | Montpelier   |   |                                 |       |  |  |
| 3.4 |  | IcAleer and Rushe have advised that the owner of 96 Malone Road has given their ermission for the developer to use the Montpelier name. |                                 |       |  |  |
| 3.5 | The developer has proposed Montpelier Manor and Montpelier Gardens for the second<br>third choice and did not want to choose any alternatives other than Montpelier because<br>strongly feel that the Montpelier name is the best choice for the site given it was the loc<br>of the original Montpelier House and that they are retaining one of the buildings. |   |                                 |       |  |  |
|     | Financial & Resource Implications  |   |                                 |       |  |  |
| 3.6 | There are no Financial, Huma   | n Resources, Assets and othe  | er implications in this report. |       |  |  |
|     | Equality or Good Relations In  | plications  |                                 |       |  |  |
| 3.7 | There are no direct Equality in  | nplications.  |                                 |       |  |  |
| 4.0 | Appendices – Documents attached  |   |                                 |       |  |  |
|     | None   |   |                                 |       |  |  |